UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,870	07/23/2003	Howard J. Jacob	650053.00002	8005
26710 QUARLES & I	7590 06/13/2007 BRADY LLP	EXAMINER		
411 E. WISCO SUITE 2040	NSIN AVENUE	POPA, ILEANA		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/625,870	JACOB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ileana Popa	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim it apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed  the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	*					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 13-15 is/are  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/23/2007 has been entered.
- Claims 1-10 and 13-15 have been withdrawn.
   Claims 11 and 12 are under examination.
- 3. The rejection of claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is withdrawn in response to Applicant's arguments filed on 03/23/2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 – enablement

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of evaluating the effect of a test

Application/Control Number: 10/625,870

Art Unit: 1633

compound on diabetes by exposing the test compound to a T2DN rat obtain by introducing the mitochondrial genome and six loci on chromosome 2, 11, 16, 19 and the X chromosome at markers D2Rat12, D11Rat93, D16Rat15, D19Rat59, DXMit4 and Dxmit42 of the Fawn Hooded rat into the genetic background of GK rats, wherein the rat develops progressive proteinuria and glomerulosclerosis leading to diabetic nephropathy, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of evaluating the effect of a test compound on diabetes by exposing the test compound to a T2DN rat obtain by a cross between a Fawn Hooded rat and a GK rat, wherein the rat develops progressive proteinuria and glomerulosclerosis leading to diabetic nephropathy. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, have been described by the court in *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (CA FC 1988).

Wands states on page 1404,

"Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized by the board in Ex parte Forman. They include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skills of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims."

While determining whether a specification is enabling, one considers whether the claimed invention provides sufficient guidance to make or use the claimed invention, if not, whether an artisan would require undue experimentation to make and use the claimed invention and whether working examples have been provided.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,870

Art Unit: 1633

The instant claims are drawn to a method of testing anti-diabetic agents by using a rat that models the human disease. The specification clearly teaches that the claimed rat can be obtained only by introducing the mitochondrial genome and six loci on chromosome 2, 11, 16, 19 and the X chromosome at markers D2Rat12, D11Rat93, D16Rat15, D19Rat59, DXMit4 and Dxmit42 of the Fawn Hooded rat into the genetic background of GK rats, wherein this specific cross results in the T2DN rat that mimics the human disease. Neither the art nor the specification provides support for obtaining the T2DN rat with the claimed characteristics by crossing a Fawn Hooded rat and a GK rat, as generally claimed. In conclusion, the instant claims are not enabled to their entire scope.

## 6. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ileana Popa whose telephone number is 571-272-5546.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,870

Art Unit: 1633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ileana Popa, PhD

Joe World 33